J. Anat. (2010) **216**, pp275–278

doi: 10.1111/i.1469-7580.2009.01180.x

BRIEF COMMUNICATION

Gerota and Brâncuşi: Romanian anatomy and art face to face

A. R. M. Chirculescu, 1,2 Andra Panduru, 3 Mihaela Chirculescu 1 and J. F. Morris 2

Abstract

Gerota's name is associated with two eponyms and two histochemical methods, but he was also Brâncuşi's teacher and supervisor. When Brâncuşi was a student in Bucharest, he produced an 'écorché' (flayed man), of which six plaster replicas still exist, two in apparently the original shape and four which have been modified/'cosmetized'. The two are in the University of Arts in Bucharest, one in the main hall, the other in the classroom used for teaching students. Of the other four, one is in the Museum of Arts and one in the Museum of Natural Sciences of Carol I National College in Craiova (where Gerota graduated in 1885), and one each in the Faculties of Medicine in Cluj Napoca and lassy. One more probably existed in the Faculty of Medicine in Bucharest, and one in the Faculty of Fine Arts in lassy but no trace of them could be found. The original clay model was lost (or destroyed during transportation) in the 1930s or 1956. Two variants of the écorché exist: one 'artistic' (slender and smoother) in the University of Arts in Bucharest; the other more 'anatomical' (muscular, robust, athletic) in Craiova, Cluj and lassy. Both variants are a very realistic representation of the human muscular system, but with that extra which only a master artist can add. Interestingly, the head of the écorché bears a striking resemblance in attitude and curves to that of Brâncuşi's famous head of Mademoiselle Pogany. The replicas appear to have been distributed to embellish the capitals of four of the six historical Romanian provinces: Muntenia (Bucharest), Oltenia (Craiova), Moldavia (lassy), and Transylvania (Cluj).

Key words abstract anatomic representation; aesthetic anatomy; anatomic eponyms; C. Brâncuşi; D. Gerota; écorché; histochemical methods; natural anatomic representation.

Dimitrie Constantineanu Gerota (b. 1867 Craiova, d. 1939 Bucharest), a well-known Romanian anatomist, was professor and head in the 2nd Department of Applied Anatomy of the School of Medicine in Bucharest from 1899 until 1937. His name is primarily associated with topographic and structural anatomy, as well as original histological methods (Constantineanu Gerota, 1892): in particular the perirenal (and pararenal) fascia and fat (Gerota, 1895a); an original method to inject/stain lymphatic vessels (Gerota, 1896a,c,d) from the gonad (1896b) and rectum (Gerota, 1895b); and an original method to stain myelinated fibres of the gut wall (Gerota, 1896d, 1897). His name is also associated with that of Constantin Brâncuşi (b. 1876 Hobiţa-Peştişani, Romania – d. 1957, Paris, known better as 'Brancusi'),

Correspondence

Andy R. M. Chirculescu, Department of Anatomy & Embryology, Faculty of Medicine, C. Davila University, Bucharest, Romania. E: andy_chirculescu@yahoo.com or J. F. Morris: john.morris@dpag.ox. ac.uk

Accepted for publication 2 November 2009

because Gerota was also Professor of Aesthetic Anatomy in the Academy of Fine Arts in Bucharest (1897–1914) during which time Brâncuşi studied there (1898–1902).

In preparation for teaching aesthetic anatomy, Gerota took intensive lessons in drawing (Velisaratu, 2007), a skill appreciated by his students, and possibly also in modeling. In 1902, under Gerota's supervision, Brâncuşi created an 'écorché' in clay, which was produced in some plaster replicas, ordered by the Ministry of Public Education for teaching anatomy in the most important Romanian high schools (Petringenaru, 1983).

The young Brâncuşi created some very realistic sculptures (1898–1902): the écorché, a female hip (marble), heads of Laocoon, Vitellius, a series of children and a life-size bronze bust of Carol Davila which still decorates the Military Hospital central yard in Bucharest. Brâncuşi also created a number of ovoid very 'simple' but controversial shapes: the famous egg 'Beginning of the world'; Sleeping muse; 'The Danäide' series; and Mademoiselle Pogany's portrait-bust (in three to 17 variants according to different sources). It is said that, when first seeing the simple oval bust Margit

¹Department of Anatomy & Embryology, Faculty of Medicine, C. Davila University, Bucharest, Romania

²Department of Physiology, Anatomy & Genetics, Oxford University, Oxford, UK

³Department of Aesthetic Anatomy, National University of Arts, Bucharest, Romania

Pogany exclaimed: 'That's me' (http://www.tate.org.uk/ about/media/copyright/).

Produced by one of the founders of abstract sculpture, the écorché is an impressively realistic representation of the human muscular system, with that indefinable extra quality that only a master artist can bring. Brâncusi's écorché is unique among écorchés made in the context of teaching, being less formally didactic than those of Houdon, Salvage and especially Auzoux. Before modeling the écorché, Brâncuşi took special classes and participated in dissection sessions in the School of Medicine, Bucharest, under Gerota's supervision (Bercus, 1981; Petringenaru, 1983; Ionescu, 1991). He also made several sketches, seven preparatory drawings being published by Barbu Brezianu (1998). The original écorché was made between 1901 and 1902 (Brezianu, 1965; Petringenaru, 1983). It was displayed in the main hall of the Romanian Athenaeum, between December 1902 and June 1903 (Brezianu, 1998) or May 1903 (Petringenaru, 1983), and later acquired by the Ministry of Public Education and Culture (Minister: Spiru Haret) at the request of the Society of Students of the School of Fine Arts (Ghitescu, 1981; Petringenaru, 1983), but at Gerota's suggestion. However, at some point the project was abandoned, because the Minister disliked Brâncuşi's vision for a monument dedicated to him (Petringenaru, 1983)! Local reports say that Brâncuşi made at least four plaster replicas of the original clay model: two for the Academy of Arts and two for the faculties of Medicine in Bucharest and/or lassy. Who made the other two - Brâncuşi or Gerota or both or neither - remains a mystery. The pedestal label (Fig. 2C) suggests Gerota's active involvement. However, Bercus (1981) implies that all the replicas were made by Brâncuşi.

Recently, we have located two écorchés in the Academy of Arts in Bucharest, two in Craiova (in Carol I National College Museum, and in the Museum of Arts), and one each in the Faculties of Medicine in Cluj Napoca and lassy. However, no trace was found in the Faculty of Medicine at Bucharest or Craiova. An écorché was present in the classroom of Anatomy of the National Academy of Physical Education and Sport in Bucharest in 1990-91 (when A.R.M.C. taught there), but now (2008) cannot be found to determine if it is or resembles Brâncuşi's écorché. It was said that Rainer took it there from the Faculty of Medicine when teaching anatomy and biomechanics (1920-31). The Carol I College (where Gerota graduated in 1885) also has a beautiful, life-size, black and white drawing of the écorché made in 1972 by Ion Budică, professor of Arts in the College.

Our investigations show for the first time that there are now two variants of replicas of the écorché: one more artistic (slender, smoother, in the 'Hellenistic' (2-1 B.C.) style) in the Academy of Arts in Bucharest (two plaster replicas; Fig. 1A); the other more muscular (robust, athletic, in the earlier 'classical Greek' (5-3 B.C.) style) in Craiova (Fig. 1B), Cluj and lassy (four replicas). Both variants are life-size: those in Craiova are 1.70 m high according to the docu-





Fig. 1 (A) The écorché in the main hall of the University of Arts in Bucharest. (B) The écorché now in the Museum of Art in Craiova, similar to those in the Museum of Carol I College in Craiova and in the Departments of Anatomy in the Faculties of Medicine of Cluj Napoca and lassy.

ments of the museum and our measurements; those in Bucharest are 1.72 m high (our measurements), although Paleolog (2004a) says the écorchés are 1.77 m high. The support, silhouette, attitude, equilibrium, expression of the face, hands, body, feet, etc., of the two variants are a little different, although even the 'athletic' écorché has an elegant line. The écorchés in Craiova and Cluj are complete; those in Bucharest and lassy have no upper limbs, but only shoulders, arm roots and devices for screws to fix the arms. Where the copies were made is unknown, as is the reason for the two variants.

The pieces in Craiova, Cluj and Iassy look similar: painted with fresh colours - muscles in red, tendons, aponeuroses, fascias and retinacula in white; ears, spermatic cord and external genitalia represented; repaired damages, and 'refurbished' (1948-52), by a professional painter at 'Didactica' in Bucharest, an institution which produces commercial plaster and plastic models for teaching). One of the specimens in Bucharest is faintly painted, the other plain white. The left leg of the écorché seems to be its 'Achilles' heel' as, in all its variants, it has evidence of damage and repair.



Fig. 2 (A) Detail of the head of the écorché in the classroom for Aesthetic Anatomy, University of Arts, Bucharest. Compare this with (B) Head of Mademoiselle Pogany, bronze replica, 1913; version in the Museum of Arts in Craiova. (C) Inscription on a pedestal of the écorché in Craiova: 'Made from a natural model by Prof.Dr. GEROTA and Brâncuş 1902' (translation from Romanian); Brâncuşi's name is misspelled; details of the feet can also be seen.



The position of the head is interesting (Fig 2A); Brâncuşi used it for different pieces including the head of Mademoiselle Pogany (Fig. 2B): semi-flexed and semi-rotated, it shows a dreaming, pensive mood. The two écorchés in the Academy of Arts in Bucharest differ from the four elsewhere: they are more elegant, slender, dynamic and curved, seeming to walk or dance; the head is in a slightly different semi-flexed position, with a different shape and glance, the face more elongated, the muscles not strongly emphasized, and the surface of the face, limbs and trunk smoother.

It seems that Gerota may have been directly involved in the modelling. According to Bercus (1981), between 1899 and 1914 Gerota made and repaired plaster and wax models for teaching in the workshop in the Department of Anatomy in Bucharest. Indeed, the inscriptions on the pedestals state 'made by Prof. Dr. GEROTA and Brâncuşi/from a natural model, 1902' (Fig. 2C) or some variant of this (Ionescu, 1974; Ghiţescu, 1981; Petringenaru, 1983), although changes in labelling may have been made for political reasons after Brâncuşi fell out of favour. Brâncuşi himself seemed very proud of the écorché so that, in the 1930s (Brezianu, 1998) or 1956 (Ghiţescu, 1981), he wrote to the Rector of the University of Arts in Bucharest asking for the clay model or a replica of it to be sent to him in Paris. How-

ever, it either arrived destroyed due to unsuitable packaging (Brezianu, 1998) or did not arrive (Ghitescu, 1981) and no trace of the original clay model now exists.

It is interesting that, although Ghiţescu was Professor of Aesthetic Anatomy in the Academy of Fine Arts in Bucharest from 1978, the écorché pictured in his book is the type with upper limbs, external genitalia, and prominent muscle relief now in Craiova, Cluj and lassy, and not the écorché in the Academy of Arts, although the legend states 'Brâncuşi Constantin and Gerota D. – Écorché. Nicolae Grigorescu Institute of Arts' (the name of the Academy of Arts at that

Brâncuşi, as an artist, clearly made the écorché for artistic purposes, not as anatomical didactic material for biomedical students. The dissection he undertook shows that he wanted to contact the real 'roots' of human form, before starting to work on the écorché. A human skeleton also appears in the background of a picture of his studio dated 1902 (Brezianu, 1998).

Did Brâncuşi have a classical model in mind when creating the écorché? Ionel Jianou (1963), Comarnescu & Jianu (1967), and Paleolog (1983, 2004a,b) consider that the model was Antinous. Antinous (ca. 111-130 AD) was the favourite of the Roman Emperor Hadrian; he was deified

after his death, and his beauty was memorialized in many statues. He was generally considered the classical model for the canon rules of human body proportions. Brezianu (1998) cites Ghitescu (1981): 'the model was of Hermes Capitolinum, a god representation sometimes mistaken for Antinous'. Polykleitos' Doriphoros is another possible model. The most recent hypothesis (Varia, 1995, 2003a,b), compares it to a (Susini) wax écorché from La Specola in Florence (see Ballestriero, 2010, this issue). Brezianu refers to the 'studies on dissected cadavers' made by Brâncuşi as a student. However, Brâncuşi used both sources freely, and apparently also tried first to copy Houdon's (small) écorché, the clay copy is now apparently in the Philadelphia Museum of Art (Ghiţescu, 1981). We have found a published picture of the Museum of Anatomy in Bucharest, from 1947 (Milcu et al 1947) in which 2 écorchés can be seen: one painted, muscular, with upper limbs; the other white, slender, with no upper limbs. However their appearance is so different that neither resembles either of the two versions of Brâncusi's and Gerota's écorchés presented here.

Finally, we are left with a number of questions: why is there no écorché in the Faculty of Medicine in Bucharest, where Gerota taught anatomy and Brâncuşi made or participated in dissections, even if it was apparently offered to the Faculty (Bercus, 1981)? Why are there two in Craiova, very close to each other, and one in each of the other medical schools existing in Romania between 1902 and 1945? And finally, who was the more important in the production of the écorché? Gerota or Brâncuşi or both?

Acknowledgements

Prof. Ruxandra Demetrescu, Rector of the University of Arts, Bucharest, for permission to take and publish images of the ecorches in UAB, Florin Rogneanu, Director of the Museum of Art in Craiova, who enthusiastically supplied much priceless information, answers to our questions, the pictures of the écorché and Mademoiselle Pogany, and also provided other pictures and allowed A.R.M.C. to take photographs for comparison; Mr. Gh. Voican, director of Visarta Bucharest, for helping obtain copyright permission to reproduce Brancuşi's works; Professors Fr. Grigorescu-Sido (Cluj), Dan St. Antohe (lassy), Horațiu Varlam (lassy), Nicolae Marcu (Bucharest) and Alina Dănicel, honorary graduate of Carol 1 College in Craiova (1980). Finally, thanks to the many people who shared oral relevant memories from their past.

Reproduction of Brâncuşi's artwork; copyright authorised to ARMC by Visarta, Bucharest, 2009.

References

Ballestriero R (2010) Anatomical models and wax Venuses: art masterpieces or scientific craft works? J Anat 216, 223-234.

- Bercuș CI (1981) Legăturile lui Brâncuși cu medicii și medicina. In Trecut și viitor în Medicină, pp. 425-435. București: Editura Medicală.
- Brezianu B (1965) The Beginnings of Brancusi (translated by Sidney Geist). The Art Journal 25, 15-25.
- Brezianu B (1998) Brâncusi în Romania. pp. 96-99, 152-155, 211, 214. Bucharest: BIC ALL S.R.L. Publishing House.
- Comarnescu P, Jianu J (1967) Temoignages sur Brâncuşi. Paris: Arted.
- Constantineanu Gerota D (1892) Violetul de metil ca agent terapeutic. Tipografia Guttenberg: Josef Göble.
- Gerota D (1895a) Beiträge zur Kenntniss des Befesti gungsapparates der Niere. Arch Anat Entwickelungsgeschichte, 265-286.
- Gerota D (1895b) Die Lymphgefässe des Rectum und des Anus. Arch Anat Physiol, Anat Abt., 240.
- Gerota D (1896a) Über eine Verbesserung des Quecksilber-Injectionsapparates für Lymphgefässe. Anat Anz (Jena) XII 1,
- Gerota D (1896b) Über die Lymphgefässe and die Lymphdrüssen der Nabelgegend and der Harnblase. Anat Anz (Jena) XII, 4, 5,
- Gerota D (1896c) Zur Technik der Lymphgefassinjection. Ein neue Injections-masse für Lymphgefässe. Polychrome Injection. Anat Anz Berlin XII 8, 216-224.
- Gerota D (1896d) Sur la gaine du plexus myentericus de l'intestin. Abdruck aus: Verhandlungen der Anatomischen Gesellschaft, Jena, April, 117-119.
- Ghitescu Gh (1981) Antropologie artistică, vol. 2. pp. 199–202, 221-234, 248, 270, 322-335. Bucharest: Editura Didactică și Pedagogică.
- lonescu M (1974) Istoricul anatomiei umane moderne. pp. 130-133. Craiova: Editura Scrisul Românesc.
- lonescu M (1991) Dicționar de Anatomiști. pp. 68, 152. Bucharest: Editura Litera.
- Jianou I (1963) Brancusi. pp. 45, 46. Paris: Arted.
- Milcu SM, Riga ITh, Tudor A, L'Oeuvre Scientifique de Fr. J. Rainer (1947) IV: Enseignement, Institutions, Collections, Monitorul Oficial si Imprimeriile Statului. pp. 128. Bucharest: Official State Printing House.
- Paleolog VG (1983) Constantin Brâncuși. Craiova: Editura Ramuri.
- Paleolog VG (2004a) Brâncuși Brâncuși. pp. 17, 27-31, 60, 89, 91. Craiova: Editura Scrisul Românesc.
- Paleolog VG (2004b) Tinerețea lui Brâncuși. Craiova: Editura Scrisul Românesc.
- Petringenaru A (1983) Imagine si simbol la Brâncusi. Bucharest: Editura Meridiane.
- Varia R (1995) Brancusi. New York: Universe Publishing.
- Varia R (2003a) Brancusi. New York: Universe Publishing.
- Varia R (2003b) Brancusi. New York: Rizzoli International Publications Inc.
- Velisaratu V (2007) Destine de artisti in secolul XX. Bucharest: Academia Română - Institutul Național Român pentru Studiul Totalitarismului.